

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/820,804	03/30/2001	Bill Kitchen	3350-05F	6580
75	90 12/01/2004		EXAM	INER
Antonelli, Terry, Stout & Kraus, LLP Suite 1800 1300 North Seventeenth Street Arlington, VA 22209			KAZIMI, HANI M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3624	
		DATE MAILED: 12/01/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/820,804	KITCHEN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Hani Kazimi	3624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address V Period for Reply						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timey within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 A</u>	<u>ugust 2004</u> .				
2a)□	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5) <u>□</u> 6)⊠	<u> </u>					
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
3) 🔲 Infori	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate datent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/820,804 Page 2

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is in response to Applicant's request for reconsideration filed on August 4, 2004. Claims 34-51 are pending. The rejections cited are as stated below:

Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 148 USPQ 459, that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or unobviousness.
- 4. Claims 34-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dent et al. US Patent No. 6,128,603 (hereinafter "Dent") in view of Hogan US Patent No. 5,699,528.

Page 3

Art Unit: 3624

Applicant's disclosure page 28, second paragraph, states "Fig. 9B depicts screen 930 which can be transmitted from the CF station 140 to present summary bill related information at the payer station 120a-12d in a categorized manner. As shown, by clicking on indicator 935, categories of billers are changed in block 940. For example, in screen 930 the category been set to unpaid bills and accordingly, a summary of all unpaid bills appears on the payer display 460. Other categories could be, for example, utility bills, paid bills, questioned bills, credit card bills or any other category which may be desirable under the particular circumstances.". Dent clearly teaches the steps of categorizing and organizing bills according to different categories such as paid bills, unpaid bills, and pending bills (figs. 5-7, and column 7, line 55 thru column 8, line 2).

Claims 34-51, Dent discloses a method and a corresponding system for electronically presenting bills, comprising the steps of storing a plurality of bills from a plurality of billers for a payer, each biller being associated with one of a plurality of biller categories (Dent teaches the steps of categorizing and organizing bills according to different categories such as paid bills, unpaid bills, and pending bills (figs. 5-7, and column 7, line 55 thru column 8, line 2), receiving a request from a payer to view those of the stored plurality of bills from billers associated with one of the plurality of biller categories, and transmitting, only those bills from those billers associated with the one biller category to the payer responsive to the request (abstract, figs. 5-7, and column 7, line 35 thru column 8, line 2).

Even though Dent discloses that "Upon selection by the consumer, the notification manager 52 sends a message over the Internet to the biller asking it to send bill notifications via phone or facsimile". Dent teaches the steps of receiving a request from a payer to view those of the stored plurality of bills from billers associated with one of the plurality of biller categories, and transmitting, only those bills from those billers associated with the one biller category to the payer responsive to the request (Dent stores all this information at the payer user interface 28). Dent fails to explicitly teach that these steps are performed via a network.

Hogan teaches a system and method for bill delivery and payment over a communications network wherein a user submits a request via a network to receive bills, and receives and pays bills via the network (figs. 2-4, and column 5, line 1 thru column 6, line 30).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time the Applicant's invention was made to modify the teachings of Dent to include the steps of receiving via a network a request from a payer to view bills, and transmitting bills from billers responsive to the request because, Dent states that "The bill management application 48 can be a separate software component, or integrated with the consumer's personal finance management (PFM) software", a separate software component can be remotely located from the payer's computer. Furthermore, storing the bills at a server remote from the payer's computer, provides the payer with additional memory space.

Dent discloses that each of the plurality of biller categories corresponds to a type of good or service provided by the plurality of billers, the plurality of biller categories includes a category corresponding to at least one of a credit card service, and a utility service, at least one of the plurality of billers is associated with more than one of a plurality of biller categories, the plurality of bill categories includes a disputed bill category, and defining at least one of the plurality of biller categories according to an instruction received from the payer (figs. 5-9, column 7, line 35 thru column 8, line 2, and column 10, line 60 thru column 11, line 42).

Dent discloses the steps of receiving, via the network, a request from the payer to view those of the stored plurality of bills from those of the plurality of billers which are paid and associated with one of the plurality of biller categories, and transmitting, via the network, those of the paid stored plurality of bills from those of the plurality of billers associated with the one biller category to the payer responsive to the request (figs. 5-7, and column 7, line 55 thru column 8, line 2).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The response to Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims is mentioned above within the 35 U.S.C. 103 rejection of this office action.

Response to the remaining arguments is addressed by the statement made by the Examiner in the previous office action and repeated again in the present office action. The statement is the first paragraph under the heading for the 102 rejection in the previous office action, and under the heading for the 103 rejection in present office action. The statement basically explains how Applicant's own specification describes the types of biller's category. No comments were made by the Applicant in the response to the previous office action concerning this statement.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hani Kazimi whose telephone number is (703) 305-1061. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached at (703) 308-1065.

The fax number for Formal or Official faxes and Draft or Informal faxes to Technology Center 3600 or this Art Unit is (703) 305-7687 or 7658.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113 or 1114.

HANI M. KAZIMI PRIMARY EXAMINER Art Unit 3624

November 12, 2004